UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,294	01/26/2006	Blas Frangione	05986/100K560-US1 8280		
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 03/21/200 RBY P.C.	8	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770 Church Street S	tation	EMCH, GREGORY S			
New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1649		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/21/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		ication No.	Applicant(s)					
		40,294	FRANGIONE ET	FRANGIONE ET AL.				
		niner	Art Unit					
		ory S. Emch	1649					
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears o	n the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIC WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH  - Extensions of time may be available under the prov after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	E MAILING DATE C sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. Im statutory period will apply reply will, by statute, cause to this after the mailing date of	F THIS COMMUNICAT no event, however, may a reply b and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f ne application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s	) filed on 20 June 20	05						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action							
<u></u>	<i>'</i> —		prosecution as to th	ne merits is				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		·						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in t	he application							
·— · · · — · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected t	n							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to rest		n requirement.						
Application Papers								
··· <u> </u>								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	•							
Applicant may not request that any	•		• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte	ed to by the Examine	r. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form P	PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revions  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-15, drawn to a method of treating a patient suffering from an amyloid disease comprising administering to a patient in need of such treatment a therapeutically effective amount of a compound which binds to free amyloid-beta in a body fluid of the patient.

Group II, claim(s) 16-27, drawn to a method of treating an amyloid disease in a patient in need of such treatment comprising filtering the blood of the patient through a filter, membrane or column, thereby removing circulating amyloid-beta from the patient.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The PCT rules provide for the examination of the first claimed product, the first claimed method of making that product, and the first claimed method of using that product in one application, but do not provide for the examination of multiple methods. The instantly claimed methods are distinct because each encompasses a materially different design with different method steps as Group I encompasses administration of a compound to a patient, whereas Group II encompasses filtering the blood of a patient through a filter,

membrane or column. Since the distinct method steps define each claimed method over the prior art, the different methods do not share a special technical feature.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

## Compounds:

- a. Apolipoprotein E
- b. Apolipoprotein J
- c. Serum amyloid P component
- d. RNA aptamers directed against amyloid beta
- e. α1-anfichymotrypsin
- f. A proteoglycan
- g. A ganglioside
- h. Vimentin
- i. Vitronectin
- j. Albumin
- k. Transthyretin

Applicants are required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-27.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they are drawn to structurally and functionally distinct agents, wherein some of the species themselves comprise a genus of potential agents.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species and invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,294 Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## **Advisory Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory S. Emch whose telephone number is (571) 272-8149. The examiner can normally be reached 9:00 am - 5:30 pm EST (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey J. Stucker can be reached at (571) 272-0911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gregory S. Emch/

Gregory S. Emch, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1649
17 March 2008

/Elizabeth C. Kemmerer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646